

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,954	07/30/2003	M. Vikram Rao	2003-IP-010829U1	1780	
75	90 08/10/2006		EXAMINER		
Robert A. Ken	t		LE, He	OA T	
Halliburton Ene 2600 South 2nd	••	es	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Duncan, OK 7	*		1773		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/631,954	RAO, M. VIKRAM	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	H. T. Le	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aftotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	sichever is later. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	136(a) and the appropria	ite extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Off	ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauco
(a) They raise new issues that would require further compared (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18-59</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will ne	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on or the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	nea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see "Detailed Advisory Action".			nce because:
see "Detailed Advisory Action". 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773

Application/Control Number: 10/631,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION

- 1. Applicant argues that Dejaffe "does not disclose that those aggregates may comprise a substantially spherical shape. Thus, since Dejaffe does not disclose this element, it cannot anticipate claim 1." The method of making aggregates as taught by Dejaiffe involves pelletizing and subsequently firing the aggregates. See col. 5, lines 7-12. These process steps inherently produce particulates that are substantially spherical. This inherence fact is actually acknowledged by Applicant. At page 7 paragraph 25 of the instant specification, it's stated: "When such combustion products are pelletized and sintered, they produce particulates that are substantially spherical and that exhibit specific gravities of below about 2.2." Therefore, not only aggregates of Dejaffe possess spherical shape, they also exhibit specific gravities of below 2.2 as claimed.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

August 6, 2006